

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/738,910	0 12/16/2003		Irving I. Dardik	ET-2	1393	
1473	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & N			SHEEHAN, JOHN P			
		.r THE AMERICAS FL (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105				1742		
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summanus		10/738,910	DARDIK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
		John P. Sheehan	1742						
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	unication appe	ars on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ac	idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cc - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DA ons of 37 CFR 1.136 immunication. In statutory period will only will, by statute, consistent the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC (a). In no event, however, may a re apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT hause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this of the condition of the condi						
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 26 Jun	ne 2006							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	·								
3)☐ Since this application is in condition	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	11 								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to									
8) Claim(s) are subject to res	triction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	C							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any of	ojection to the dr	rawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) includ	ing the correctio	n is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected	I to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai a) All b) Some * c) None of		riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the prior	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priori	•	,							
3. Copies of the certified copie	•	•	eceived in this National	Stage					
application from the Interna									
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of	r the certified copies not r	eceivea.						
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su							
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/04 1/05.		6) Other:		•					

Application/Control Number: 10/738,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The information disclosure statement filed January 4, 2005 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance of EPO Patent Document No. 0 005 676 A2, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. The Examiner has considered the remaining references in the IDS but EPO Patent Document No. 0 005 676 A2 has not been considered. It is noted that on page 2 of the IDS applicants have stated that a copy of the International Search Report for PCT application PCT/03/40291 dated December 20, 2004 is enclosed. Applicants are advised that the Examiner did not receive said search report.
- 2. The Examiner acknowledges receipt of the information disclosure statement filed July 6, 2004. It is noted that the reference entitled "Industrial Mixing Technology: Chemical and Biological Applications is over 190 pages. respectively. Although there is no requirement that applicants explain the materiality of this reference, the cloaking of a clearly relevant reference in a long list of references or in an overly lengthy reference may not comply with applicants' duty to disclose, see Penn Yan Boats, Inc. v. Sea Lark Boats, Inc., 359 F. Supp. 948, aff'd 479 F. 2d. 1338. The Examiner has considered this reference in same manner as references encountered during a normal search of Office

Application/Control Number: 10/738,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

search files. There is no duty to consider this reference to a greater extent than those ordinarily looked at during a regular search by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 to 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - I. In claim 1, line 3, "the melt" lacks an antecedent.
 - II. In claim 2, lines 2 and 3, "said systems" lacks an antecedent.
 - III. In claim 3, line 3, "the process of continuous or semi-continuous casting" lacks an antecedent.

Claim Interpretation

5. The Examiner has interpreted the claim language appearing in claim 1, lines 3 to 8, "excited by m-phase systems of helical alternating currents...periodically repeated duration in time" as a description of the source of the electric current used to creat the magnetic field used in the recited process and not as an actual process step. As such, this claim language is not considered to further limit the recited process.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 to 4 åre rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by each of Summers (PCT Document No. WO 80/0199, cited in the IDS submitted January 4, 2005) or Swainston et al. (Swainston, EPO Document No. 0 080 326, cited in the IDS submitted January 4, 2006).

Each of the references teaches a method of casting, that is crystallizing, a molten metal in a helically traveling magnetic field as recited in the instant claims Summers, page 1, line 15 to page 2, line 20 and Swainston, page 1, line 10 to page 2, line 16). In view of the explanation set forth above under the heading, "Claim Interpretation", applicants' claimed process does not distinguish over the process taught by each of these references.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Sheehan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1249. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6:45-4:30) Second Monday Off.

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John P. Sheehan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

jps